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## MASS LAYOFFS IN JUNE 2006

In June 2006, employers took 1,097 mass layoff actions, seasonally adjusted, as measured by new filings for unemployment insurance benefits during the month, the Bureau of Labor Statistics of the U.S. Department of Labor reported today. Each action involved at least 50 persons from a single establishment, and the number of workers involved totaled 119,662, on a seasonally adjusted basis. The number of layoff events increased by 23, and the number of associated initial claims rose by 9,804 from May 2006. In the manufacturing sector, 311 mass layoff events were reported during June 2006, seasonally adjusted, resulting in 37,570 initial claims. The number of events in manufacturing was higher than a month earlier, while the number of initial claims decreased. (See table 1.)

Chart 1. Mass layoff events, seasonally adjusted, July 2001-June 2006


Chart 2. Mass layoff initial claims, seasonally adjusted, July 2001-June 2006


In June 2006, the national unemployment rate was 4.6 percent, seasonally adjusted, unchanged from May 2006 and down from 5.0 percent in June 2005. Total nonfarm payroll employment, seasonally adjusted, increased by 121,000 over the month and by about 1.9 million over the year.

## Industry Distribution (Not Seasonally Adjusted)

The 10 industries reporting the highest number of mass layoff initial claims, not seasonally adjusted, accounted for 73,842 initial claims in June, 45 percent of the total. (See table A.) The two industries with the

Table A. Industries with the largest mass layoff initial claims in June 2006

| Industry | Initial claims | June peak |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Year | Initial claims |
| School and employee bus transportation | 18,267 | 2006 | 18,267 |
| Food service contractors | 11,707 | 2006 | 11,707 |
| Elementary and secondary schools | 11,029 | 2003 | 17,360 |
| Child day care services | 7,973 | 1995 | 8,779 |
| Motion picture and video production | 7,261 | 2000 | 9,435 |
| Temporary help services . | 5,607 | 2000 | 13,815 |
| Automobile manufacturing. | 4,525 | 1998 | 41,501 |
| Other individual and family services ..... | 2,744 | 2006 | 2,744 |
| Other social advocacy organizations .... | 2,555 | 2000 | 3,815 |
| Nonupholstered wood household furniture mfg. $\qquad$ | 2,174 | 2006 | 2,174 |

highest number of initial claims were school and employee bus transportation with 18,267 and food service contractors with 11,707. Together, these two industries accounted for 18 percent of all initial claims in June.

The manufacturing sector accounted for 21 percent of all mass layoff events and 25 percent of all initial claims filed in June; a year earlier, manufacturing comprised 19 percent of events and 27 percent of initial claims. In June 2006, the number of manufacturing claimants was highest in transportation equipment manufacturing ( 15,822 , mostly automotive-related), followed by food manufacturing $(5,008)$. (See table 3 .)

Transportation and warehousing accounted for 10 percent of events and 14 percent of initial claims filed in June 2006, with layoffs mainly in school and employee bus transportation. Seven percent of all layoff events and 9 percent of initial claims filed during the month were from accommodation and food services, primarily in food service contractors. Heath care and social assistance accounted for 10 percent of events and 8 percent of initial claims in June, mostly in child day care services.

Government establishments accounted for 14 percent of events and 12 percent of initial claims filed in June, largely in educational services as the school year ended.

On a not seasonally adjusted basis, the number of layoff events in June 2006, at 1,489, was up by 332 from a year earlier, and the number of associated initial claims increased by 44,298 to 164,761 . These were the highest number of events reported for any June since 2003 and the highest initial claims totals since 2001. This is likely due in part to a calendar effect; June 2006 contained 5 weeks for possible mass layoffs compared with 4 weeks in each June of the prior 4 years. (See Technical Note for an explanation of how the number of weeks for data collection can vary from month to month. Also, note that adjustments are made for the calendar effects in the previously mentioned seasonally adjusted series.) The largest over-the-year increases in initial claims were reported in transit and ground passenger transportation (+7,710), food services and drinking places ( $+4,748$ ), motion picture and sound recording industries $(+4,626)$, and transportation equipment manufacturing (+3,554). The largest over-the-year decreases in initial claims were reported in electrical equipment and appliance manufacturing $(-3,049)$ and telecommunications (-869).

## Geographic Distribution (Not Seasonally Adjusted)

Among the four census regions, the highest number of initial claims in June due to mass layoffs was in the West, 46,445. (See table 5.) Motion picture and sound recording industries and educational services accounted for 26 percent of all mass layoff initial claims in that region during the month. The Midwest had the second largest number of initial claims, 44,200, followed by the Northeast, 37,376 , and the South with 36,740.

The number of initial claimants in mass layoffs increased over the year in all four of the regions. The largest increase was in the Northeast $(+14,655)$, followed by the West $(+13,367)$, the South $(+11,061)$ and the Midwest $(+5,215)$. All nine geographic divisions had over-the-year increases in the number of initial claims associated with mass layoffs, with the largest increases in the Middle Atlantic $(+12,004)$ and Pacific (+11,974).

Among the states, California recorded the highest number of initial claims filed due to mass layoff events in June $(35,270)$, followed by Pennsylvania $(13,932)$, New Jersey $(13,182)$, Michigan $(9,298)$, and Illinois $(9,144)$. These five states accounted for 48 percent of all mass layoff events and 49 percent of all initial claims for unemployment insurance. (See table 6.)

California had the largest over-the-year increase in the number of initial claims ( $+10,618$ ), largely due to layoffs in motion picture and sound recording industries and professional and technical services. New Jersey had the next largest increase in initial claims ( $+5,687$ ), followed closely by Pennsylvania ( $+5,327$ ). The largest over-the-year decrease in claims occurred in Ohio $(-5,638)$.

From January to June, California reported 161,329 mass layoff initial claims, 24 percent of the national total. The states with the next largest number of claims over this period were Pennsylvania $(43,038)$, New York $(42,409)$, and Michigan $(40,986)$.

## Note

The monthly data series in this release cover mass layoffs of 50 or more workers beginning in a given month, regardless of the duration of the layoffs. For private nonfarm establishments, information on the length of the layoff is obtained later and issued in a quarterly release that reports on mass layoffs lasting more than 30 days (referred to as "extended mass layoffs"). The quarterly release provides more information on the industry classification and location of the establishment and on the demographics of the laid-off workers. Because monthly figures include short-term layoffs of 30 days or less, the sum of the figures for the 3 months in a quarter will be higher than the quarterly figure for mass layoffs of more than 30 days. (See table 4.) See the Technical Note for more detailed definitions.

The report on Extended Mass Layoffs in the Second Quarter of 2006 is scheduled to be released on Thursday, August 10, 2006. The report on Mass Layoffs in July 2006 is scheduled to be released on Wednesday, August 23, 2006.

## Technical Note

The Mass Layoff Statistics (MLS) program is a federal-state program that uses a standardized, automated approach to identifying, describing, and tracking the effects of major job cutbacks, using data from each state's unemployment insurance database. Each month, states report on establishments which have at least 50 initial claims filed against them during a consecutive 5-week period. These establishments then are contacted by the state agency to determine whether these separations lasted 31 days or longer, and, if so, other information concerning the layoff is collected. States report on layoffs lasting more than 1 month on a quarterly basis.

A given month contains an aggregation of the weekly unemployment insurance claims filings for the Sunday through Saturday weeks in that month. All weeks are included for the particular month, except if the first day of the month falls on Saturday. In this case, the week is included in the prior month's tabulations. This means that some months will contain 4 weeks and others, 5 weeks, the number of weeks in a given month may be different from year to year, and the number of weeks in a year may vary. Therefore, analysis of over-the-month and over-theyear change in not seasonally adjusted series should take this calendar effect into consideration.

The MLS program resumed operations in April 1995 after it had been terminated in November 1992 due to lack of funding. Prior to April 1995, monthly layoff statistics were not available.

Information in this release will be made available to sensory impaired individuals upon request. Voice phone: 202-691-5200; TDD message referral phone number: 1-800-877-8339.

## Definitions

Initial claimant. A person who files any notice of unemployment to initiate a request either for a determination of entitlement to and eligibility for compensation, or for a subsequent period of unemployment within a benefit year or period of eligibility.

Mass layoff event. Fifty or more initial claims for unemployment insurance benefits filed against an establishment during a 5-week period, regardless of duration.

## Seasonal adjustment

Effective with the release of data for January 2005, BLS began publishing six seasonally adjusted monthly MLS series. The six series are the numbers of mass layoff events and mass layoff initial claims for the total, private nonfarm, and manufacturing sectors.

Seasonal adjustment is the process of estimating and removing the effect on time series data of regularly recurring seasonal events such as changes in the weather, holidays, and the beginning and ending of the school year. The use of seasonal adjustment makes it easier to observe fundamental changes in time series, particularly those associated with general economic expansions and contractions.

The MLS data are seasonally adjusted using the X-12ARIMA seasonal adjustment method on a concurrent basis. Concurrent seasonal adjustment uses all available monthly estimates, including those for the current month, in developing seasonal adjustment factors. Revisions to the most recent 5 years of seasonally adjusted data will be made once a year with the issuance of December data. Before the data are seasonally adjusted, prior adjustments are made to the original data to adjust them for differences in the number of weeks used to calculate the monthly data. Because weekly unemployment insurance claims are aggregated to form monthly data, a particular month's value could be calculated with 5 weeks of data in one year and 4 weeks in another. The effects of these differences could seriously distort the seasonal factors if they were ignored in the seasonal adjustment process. These effects are modeled in the X-12ARIMA program and are permanently removed from the final seasonally adjusted series.

Table 1. Mass layoff events and initial claimants for unemployment insurance, July 2002 to June 2006, seasonally adjusted

| Date | Total |  | Private nonfarm |  | Manufacturing |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Events | Initial claimants | Events | Initial claimants | Events | Initial claimants |
| 2002 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July . | 1,635 | 179,806 | 1,460 | 164,944 | 564 | 74,834 |
| August | 1,478 | 162,040 | 1,324 | 150,118 | 569 | 67,779 |
| September | 1,911 | 218,875 | 1,747 | 203,849 | 617 | 80,528 |
| October | 1,774 | 186,940 | 1,582 | 169,660 | 625 | 73,904 |
| November | 1,652 | 178,402 | 1,507 | 167,335 | 613 | 71,693 |
| December | 1,841 | 198,678 | 1,659 | 184,368 | 661 | 84,048 |
| 2003 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January | 1,358 | 131,963 | 1,168 | 117,636 | 387 | 48,685 |
| February | 1,825 | 190,928 | 1,647 | 178,363 | 646 | 78,819 |
| March | 1,782 | 175,671 | 1,595 | 160,170 | 617 | 72,409 |
| April | 1,722 | 174,608 | 1,564 | 163,607 | 640 | 83,303 |
| May | 1,719 | 184,003 | 1,542 | 170,961 | 625 | 86,535 |
| June | 1,716 | 164,299 | 1,524 | 148,542 | 636 | 68,143 |
| July . | 1,642 | 163,179 | 1,442 | 148,299 | 580 | 74,070 |
| August | 1,517 | 171,861 | 1,367 | 158,049 | 551 | 74,602 |
| September | 1,562 | 147,383 | 1,374 | 133,383 | 484 | 56,472 |
| October | 1,558 | 156,814 | 1,336 | 138,691 | 427 | 52,009 |
| November | 1,393 | 141,383 | 1,244 | 129,231 | 401 | 50,460 |
| December | 1,426 | 144,456 | 1,265 | 132,324 | 434 | 50,994 |
| 2004 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January . | 1,421 | 142,704 | 1,223 | 124,192 | 395 | 48,519 |
| February | 1,293 | 132,640 | 1,145 | 120,811 | 362 | 39,360 |
| March . | 1,364 | 140,957 | 1,234 | 132,152 | 407 | 60,296 |
| April | 1,381 | 141,909 | 1,207 | 126,106 | 341 | 37,686 |
| May | 1,189 | 111,173 | 1,030 | 98,230 | 314 | 37,405 |
| June | 1,390 | 141,948 | 1,226 | 129,344 | 360 | 45,398 |
| July | 1,329 | 137,724 | 1,185 | 126,945 | 371 | 53,248 |
| August. | 1,436 | 131,807 | 1,243 | 116,672 | 342 | 38,192 |
| September | 1,283 | 125,344 | 1,155 | 115,499 | 344 | 45,691 |
| October | 1,302 | 129,237 | 1,181 | 119,653 | 369 | 47,888 |
| November | 1,350 | 135,036 | 1,202 | 122,954 | 407 | 47,517 |
| December | 1,188 | 120,602 | 1,038 | 109,508 | 293 | 33,123 |
| 2005 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January . | 1,465 | 153,676 | 1,330 | 143,295 | 380 | 58,778 |
| February | 1,135 | 120,190 | 1,010 | 109,964 | 350 | 43,966 |
| March | 1,204 | 133,935 | 1,071 | 124,273 | 384 | 56,253 |
| April | 1,278 | 139,575 | 1,145 | 128,478 | 390 | 60,726 |
| May | 1,194 | 129,214 | 1,059 | 117,660 | 359 | 52,055 |
| June | 1,184 | 128,430 | 1,065 | 119,271 | 349 | 53,930 |
| July . | 1,248 | 131,136 | 1,107 | 118,994 | 356 | 49,070 |
| August | 1,145 | 127,592 | 1,006 | 116,011 | 334 | 48,904 |
| September | 2,219 | 283,772 | 1,975 | 237,831 | 438 | 53,399 |
| October | 1,114 | 104,584 | 986 | 94,798 | 328 | 45,475 |
| November | 1,205 | 120,783 | 1,074 | 109,680 | 359 | 45,069 |
| December | 1,308 | 149,565 | 1,185 | 138,234 | 365 | 49,641 |
| 2006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January | 1,113 | 108,378 | 985 | 97,832 | 274 | 29,541 |
| February . | 1,073 | 111,468 | 973 | 103,268 | 321 | 45,073 |
| March | 1,082 | 118,555 | 984 | 110,275 | 328 | 49,023 |
| April. | 1,148 | 118,504 | 1,023 | 109,150 | 358 | 48,086 |
| May | 1,074 | 109,858 | 963 | 101,080 | 293 | 42,006 |
| June | 1,097 | 119,662 | 974 | 109,041 | 311 | 37,570 |

Table 2. Mass layoff events and initial claimants for unemployment insurance, July 2002 to June 2006, not seasonally adjusted

| Date | Total |  | Private nonfarm |  | Manufacturing |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Events | Initial claimants | Events | Initial claimants | Events | Initial claimants |
| 2002 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July | 2,042 | 245,294 | 1,819 | 226,892 | 907 | 135,271 |
| August | 1,248 | 128,103 | 1,151 | 119,874 | 427 | 48,668 |
| September | 1,062 | 124,522 | 957 | 114,736 | 352 | 43,755 |
| October | 1,497 | 171,100 | 1,270 | 149,327 | 493 | 64,655 |
| November | 2,153 | 240,171 | 1,860 | 216,237 | 719 | 92,712 |
| December | 2,474 | 264,158 | 2,324 | 252,807 | 984 | 126,826 |
| 2003 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January | 2,315 | 225,430 | 2,130 | 210,918 | 822 | 90,244 |
| February | 1,363 | 124,965 | 1,222 | 116,264 | 435 | 48,161 |
|  | 1,207 | 113,026 | 1,099 | 104,468 | 390 | 41,063 |
| April | 1,581 | 161,412 | 1,470 | 152,937 | 499 | 62,349 |
| May | 1,703 | 174,204 | 1,538 | 160,729 | 499 | 61,278 |
| June | 1,691 | 157,552 | 1,336 | 127,743 | 389 | 40,845 |
| July | 2,087 | 226,435 | 1,815 | 206,901 | 946 | 136,410 |
| August | 1,258 | 133,839 | 1,163 | 124,131 | 405 | 52,620 |
| September | 868 | 82,647 | 756 | 73,914 | 271 | 31,428 |
| October | 1,523 | 158,240 | 1,265 | 137,706 | 438 | 53,741 |
| November | 1,438 | 138,543 | 1,234 | 123,524 | 408 | 48,419 |
| December | 1,929 | 192,633 | 1,793 | 182,750 | 648 | 77,915 |
| 2004 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January | 2,428 | 239,454 | 2,226 | 220,687 | 848 | 89,551 |
| February | 941 | 84,201 | 832 | 76,577 | 240 | 23,043 |
| March | 920 | 92,554 | 847 | 87,782 | 258 | 34,686 |
| April | 1,458 | 157,314 | 1,316 | 142,657 | 343 | 36,172 |
| May | 988 | 87,501 | 878 | 78,786 | 219 | 22,141 |
| June | 1,379 | 134,588 | 1,077 | 110,804 | 222 | 27,307 |
| July | 2,094 | 253,929 | 1,860 | 234,877 | 885 | 145,895 |
| August | 809 | 69,033 | 745 | 63,876 | 194 | 17,698 |
| September | 708 | 68,972 | 637 | 63,102 | 189 | 25,808 |
| October | 1,242 | 127,918 | 1,101 | 117,375 | 372 | 48,265 |
| November | 1,399 | 130,423 | 1,201 | 115,549 | 412 | 44,243 |
| December | 1,614 | 161,271 | 1,487 | 152,092 | 436 | 50,726 |
| 2005 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January .......................................................... | 2,564 | 263,952 | 2,421 | 253,409 | 823 | 108,985 |
| February | 810 | 74,644 | 722 | 68,372 | 230 | 24,931 |
| March | 806 | 88,937 | 733 | 83,793 | 246 | 33,030 |
| April | 1,373 | 158,582 | 1,263 | 148,133 | 395 | 59,129 |
| May | 986 | 101,358 | 891 | 93,332 | 249 | 30,424 |
| June | 1,157 | 120,463 | 941 | 103,307 | 216 | 32,783 |
| July | 1,981 | 244,216 | 1,745 | 222,377 | 856 | 136,210 |
| August | 645 | 67,582 | 598 | 63,484 | 188 | 22,531 |
| September | 1,662 | 213,281 | 1,505 | 179,042 | 318 | 47,497 |
| October | 905 | 91,941 | 757 | 80,694 | 249 | 37,276 |
| November | 1,254 | 116,127 | 1,079 | 102,182 | 363 | 41,442 |
| December | 2,323 | 254,258 | 2,168 | 242,753 | 706 | 96,382 |
| 2006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January | 1,245 | 117,946 | 1,123 | 108,701 | 331 | 35,097 |
| February . | 719 | 66,555 | 658 | 62,208 | 210 | 24,892 |
| March | 921 | 111,838 | 856 | 106,177 | 285 | 44,688 |
| April . | 1,140 | 121,589 | 1,038 | 112,964 | 296 | 39,538 |
| May | 872 | 84,809 | 794 | 78,663 | 192 | 23,570 |
| June | 1,489 | 164,761 | 1,224 | 140,687 | 319 | 41,095 |

Table 3. Industry distribution: Mass layoff events and initial claimants for unemployment insurance


[^0]Table 4. Mass layoff events and initial claimants for unemployment insurance, April 2004 to June 2006, not seasonally adjusted


Table 5. Mass layoff events and initial claimants for unemployment insurance by census region and division, not seasonally adjusted

| Census region and division | Mass layoff events |  |  |  | Initial claimants for unemployment insurance |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2005 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { April } \\ & 2006 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { May } \\ 2006 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2006 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | June <br> 2005 | April <br> 2006 | $\begin{gathered} \text { May } \\ 2006 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | June <br> 2006 |
| United States ${ }^{1}$ | 1,157 | 1,140 | 872 | 1,489 | 120,463 | 121,589 | 84,809 | 164,761 |
| Northeast | 183 | 308 | 134 | 296 | 22,721 | 34,615 | 12,744 | 37,376 |
| New England | 26 | 52 | 30 | 48 | 3,158 | 6,268 | 3,083 | 5,809 |
| Middle Atlantic | 157 | 256 | 104 | 248 | 19,563 | 28,347 | 9,661 | 31,567 |
| South . | 269 | 177 | 204 | 344 | 25,679 | 18,283 | 18,518 | 36,740 |
| South Atlantic | 164 | 98 | 100 | 190 | 15,133 | 10,728 | 8,321 | 20,426 |
| East South Central | 41 | 41 | 51 | 80 | 3,656 | 4,108 | 5,259 | 8,564 |
| West South Central | 64 | 38 | 53 | 74 | 6,890 | 3,447 | 4,938 | 7,750 |
| Midwest . | 299 | 243 | 220 | 378 | 38,985 | 34,494 | 26,538 | 44,200 |
| East North Central . | 246 | 203 | 157 | 292 | 33,393 | 30,704 | 17,516 | 36,225 |
| West North Central . | 53 | 40 | 63 | 86 | 5,592 | 3,790 | 9,022 | 7,975 |
| West | 406 | 412 | 314 | 471 | 33,078 | 34,197 | 27,009 | 46,445 |
| Mountain | 44 | 47 | 28 | 55 | 4,003 | 5,769 | 2,637 | 5,396 |
| Pacific | 362 | 365 | 286 | 416 | 29,075 | 28,428 | 24,372 | 41,049 |

${ }^{1}$ See footnote 1, table 3.
NOTE: The States (including the District of Columbia) that comprise the census divisions are: New England: Connecticut, Maine,
Massachusetts, New Hampshire, Rhode Island, and Vermont; Middle Atlantic: New Jersey, New York, and Pennsylvania; South Atlantic: Delaware, District of Columbia, Florida, Georgia, Maryland, North Carolina, South Carolina, Virginia, and West Virginia; East South Central:

Alabama, Kentucky, Mississippi, and Tennessee; West South Central: Arkansas, Louisiana, Oklahoma, and Texas; East North Central: Illinois, Indiana, Michigan, Ohio, and Wisconsin; West North Central: Iowa, Kansas, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, North Dakota, and South Dakota; Mountain: Arizona, Colorado, Idaho, Montana, Nevada, New Mexico, Utah, and Wyoming; and Pacific: Alaska, California, Hawaii, Oregon, and Washington.

Table 6. State distribution: Mass layoff events and initial claimants for unemployment insurance, not seasonally adjusted

| State | Mass layoff events |  |  |  | Initial claimants for unemployment insurance |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2005 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { April } \\ & 2006 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { May } \\ 2006 \end{gathered}$ | June $2006$ | June $2005$ | April <br> 2006 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2006 \end{aligned}$ | June $2006$ |
| Total ${ }^{1}$ | 1,157 | 1,140 | 872 | 1,489 | 120,463 | 121,589 | 84,809 | 164,761 |
| Alabama | 8 | 9 | 13 | 15 | 833 | 741 | 1,347 | 1,636 |
| Alaska | 3 | 5 | $\left({ }^{2}\right)$ | 4 | 238 | 694 | $\left({ }^{2}\right)$ | 375 |
| Arizona | 7 | 17 | 5 | 12 | 475 | 2,709 | 625 | 1,262 |
| Arkansas | $\left({ }^{2}\right)$ | 5 | $\left({ }^{2}\right)$ | 4 | $\left({ }^{2}\right)$ | 432 | $\left({ }^{2}\right)$ | 819 |
| California | 319 | 321 | 259 | 361 | 24,652 | 24,551 | 22,138 | 35,270 |
| Colorado | $\left({ }^{2}\right)$ | 6 | 5 | 4 | $\left({ }^{2}\right)$ | 768 | 443 | 355 |
| Connecticut | 6 | 8 | 6 | 14 | 734 | 673 | 461 | 1,735 |
| Delaware | 4 | - | - | 5 | 1,674 | - | - | 1,671 |
| District of Columbia | - | - | $\left({ }^{2}\right)$ | - | - | - | $\left({ }^{2}\right)$ | - |
| Florida | 93 | 34 | 48 | 92 | 6,661 | 2,363 | 3,165 | 7,581 |
| Georgia | 19 | 20 | 17 | 40 | 1,924 | 4,191 | 1,734 | 4,456 |
| Hawaii | 3 | 6 | 4 | 6 | 210 | 422 | 359 | 408 |
| Idaho | 4 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 301 | 349 | 255 | 393 |
| Illinois | 56 | 29 | 43 | 61 | 5,273 | 3,380 | 6,641 | 9,144 |
| Indiana | 34 | 22 | 22 | 40 | 5,771 | 2,260 | 1,815 | 6,786 |
| lowa. | 7 | 7 | 12 | 12 | 847 | 987 | 3,239 | 813 |
| Kansas | 6 | $\left({ }^{2}\right)$ | 9 | 10 | 822 | $\left({ }^{2}\right)$ | 685 | 880 |
| Kentucky | 11 | 17 | 17 | 26 | 962 | 2,174 | 2,065 | 3,246 |
| Louisiana | 21 | $\left({ }^{2}\right)$ | 12 | 22 | 1,965 | $\left({ }^{2}\right)$ | 973 | 2,361 |
| Maine | $\left({ }^{2}\right)$ | $\left({ }^{2}\right)$ | 4 | 4 | $\left({ }^{2}\right)$ | $\left({ }^{2}\right)$ | 314 | 287 |
| Maryland | 4 | 15 | 5 | 16 | 478 | 1,277 | 414 | 1,455 |
| Massachusetts | 7 | 17 | 13 | 10 | 592 | 2,001 | 1,692 | 795 |
| Michigan | 55 | 41 | 40 | 88 | 6,580 | 7,191 | 4,028 | 9,298 |
| Minnesota | 14 | 12 | 11 | 21 | 1,314 | 814 | 2,678 | 1,971 |
| Mississippi | 7 | 6 | 7 | 13 | 391 | 430 | 495 | 1,023 |
| Missouri ... | 16 | 13 | 25 | 33 | 1,719 | 1,008 | 1,957 | 3,017 |
| Montana | 6 | 3 | 3 | 7 | 378 | 220 | 182 | 559 |
| Nebraska | 7 | 4 | 4 | 6 | 556 | 495 | 324 | 615 |
| Nevada | 12 | 11 | $\left({ }^{2}\right)$ | 14 | 1,713 | 1,053 | $\left({ }^{2}\right)$ | 1,597 |
| New Hampshire | 3 | 5 | $\left({ }^{2}\right)$ | 4 | 661 | 504 | $\left({ }^{2}\right)$ | 625 |
| New Jersey . | 39 | 53 | 16 | 85 | 7,495 | 6,259 | 1,591 | 13,182 |
| New Mexico | 8 | $\left({ }^{2}\right)$ | 4 | 10 | 623 | $\left({ }^{2}\right)$ | 570 | 891 |
| New York | 37 | 102 | 40 | 44 | 3,463 | 13,386 | 4,570 | 4,453 |
| North Carolina | 13 | 7 | 12 | 14 | 1,127 | 568 | 1,355 | 1,332 |
| North Dakota | $\left({ }^{2}\right)$ | $\left({ }^{2}\right)$ | $\left({ }^{2}\right)$ | $\left({ }^{2}\right)$ | ( ${ }^{2}$ ) | $\left({ }^{2}\right)$ | $\left({ }^{2}\right)$ | $\left({ }^{2}\right)$ |
| Ohio | 62 | 53 | 30 | 59 | 11,541 | 12,182 | 3,102 | 5,903 |
| Oklahoma | 4 | $\left({ }^{2}\right)$ | $\left({ }^{2}\right)$ | 4 | 296 | $\left({ }^{2}\right)$ | $\left({ }^{2}\right)$ | 288 |
| Oregon ....... | 20 | 16 | 16 | 26 | 2,253 | 1,296 | 1,242 | 3,275 |
| Pennsylvania | 81 | 101 | 48 | 119 | 8,605 | 8,702 | 3,500 | 13,932 |
| Rhode Island | 7 | 7 | $\left({ }^{2}\right)$ | 11 | 948 | 1,528 | $\left({ }^{2}\right)$ | 1,825 |
| South Carolina | 17 | 11 | 10 | 11 | 1,564 | 1,314 | 988 | 1,190 |
| South Dakota | $\left({ }^{2}\right)$ | $\left({ }^{2}\right)$ | $\left({ }^{2}\right)$ | $\left({ }^{2}\right)$ | $\left({ }^{2}\right)$ | $\left({ }^{2}\right)$ | $\left({ }^{2}\right)$ | ( ${ }^{2}$ ) |
| Tennessee | 15 | 9 | 14 | 26 | 1,470 | 763 | 1,352 | 2,659 |
| Texas | 37 | 30 | 37 | 44 | 3,329 | 2,354 | 3,688 | 4,282 |
| Utah | 5 | 4 | 5 | $\left({ }^{2}\right)$ | 338 | 381 | 447 | $\left({ }^{2}\right)$ |
| Vermont | $\left({ }^{2}\right)$ | 13 | 4 | 5 | $\left({ }^{2}\right)$ | 1,311 | 404 | 542 |
| Virginia | 11 | 9 | 7 | 9 | 1,495 | 830 | 593 | 2,480 |
| Washington | 17 | 17 | 6 | 19 | 1,722 | 1,465 | 570 | 1,721 |
| West Virginia | 3 | $\left({ }^{2}\right)$ | - | $\left({ }^{2}\right)$ | 210 | $\left({ }^{2}\right)$ | - | $\left({ }^{2}\right)$ |
| Wisconsin | 39 | 58 | 22 | 44 | 4,228 | 5,691 | 1,930 | 5,094 |
| Wyoming | - | $\left({ }^{2}\right)$ | - | - | - | $\left({ }^{2}\right)$ | - | - |
| Puerto Rico .... | 12 | 8 | 60 | 19 | 2,023 | 767 | 14,229 | 2,071 |

[^1]NOTE: Dash represents zero


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Data were reported by all states and the District of Columbia.
    ${ }^{2}$ Data do not meet BLS or state agency disclosure standards.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ See footnote 1, table 3.
    ${ }^{2}$ Data do not meet BLS or state agency disclosure standards.

